

## Presentation Evaluation Sheet

Full-Stack Web Development

**Team:** Team name – members

**Date:** Date picker

### Individual criteria

		+	+	o			
<b>Value proposition</b>	- App is a plausible <b>solution</b> to a real-life <b>problem</b> - <b>Target users</b> are clearly scoped (e.g., via personas)	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	.5 <input type="checkbox"/>	0 <input type="checkbox"/>	- Neither problem nor solution become clear - Fuzzy identification of target user
<b>Software architecture</b>	- App <b>flow/ behavior</b> well-designed (e.g., derived from user journey) - App <b>structure</b> sensible and clean	5 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1-0 <input type="checkbox"/>	- Illogical, incomplete app flow - Scrappy or illogical structure, or clearly “copy/paste” approach
<b>Key design decisions</b>	- Identified design problems and took decisions <b>purposefully</b> - Shows <b>tech proficiency</b> (within course scope)	5 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1-0 <input type="checkbox"/>	- Design decisions taken by chance, or as seen in some random forum - Lacks basic comprehension of employed tech stack
<b>Development priorities</b>	- <b>MVP scope</b> well thought-through, matches core value proposition - <b>Prioritization</b> based on plausible criteria (e.g., time, skills, money)	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	.5 <input type="checkbox"/>	0 <input type="checkbox"/>	- App scope does not fit to value proposition - No purposeful prioritization, no planning of development process
<b>Structure</b>	- Logical <b>storyline</b> , easy to follow presentation and demo - Reasonable visualization	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	.5 <input type="checkbox"/>	0 <input type="checkbox"/>	- Confusing storyline, hard to follow - Very poor visualization (lacks basic design skills) and poor demo
<b>Performance</b>	- <b>To the point</b> , well-articulated, convincing - Engaged presentation delivery, shows <b>identification</b> with app	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	.5 <input type="checkbox"/>	0 <input type="checkbox"/>	- Overly redundant, difficult to comprehend, unconvincing - Low-energy delivery, lacks interest
<b>Time budget</b>	- Presentation delivered <b>on time</b> without rush - Adequate handling of <b>questions</b> from audience	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	.5 <input type="checkbox"/>	0 <input type="checkbox"/>	- Presentation either way too short or way too long, or very rushed - No strategy to handle questions from audience
<b>Bottom line</b>	- Overall <b>well-rounded</b> presentation - <b>Authentic</b> delivery	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	- Overall time-wasting presentation - Inauthentic delivery

### Additional comments

**Criterion Comment**

Dropdown

Dropdown

Dropdown

Dropdown

Dropdown

Dropdown

### Overall evaluation

-1.0...+1.0 **Bonus:** Reasoning

0.0...25.0 **Total**