



Semester Project Evaluation Sheet

Full-Stack Web Development

Team: Team name – members

Sub. Date: Date picker

Individual criteria

		+	+	o			Notes
Application	- App runs as intended by following instructions on README.md - No major bugs leading to unhandled errors (usually, 500 errors)	20	16	12	8	4-0	- App cannot be started (after short debugging) or does almost nothing
Minimum requirements	- App meets all criteria: Python with Flask, DB r/w, Jinja2, CSS, JSON, min. 8 routes, user roles	5	4	3	2	1-0	- 4 or more criteria missing from app
Value proposition	- Plausible solution to a real-life problem; target users defined - Customer journey shown visually	2	1.5	1	.5	0	- Neither problem nor solution are clear; target users remain fuzzy - Customer journey missing
Architecture, data model, reference	- Logically structured, all requested sections are covered - Well-written, sensible width & depth - Useful visual elements (e.g., UML diagrams, screen flows)	15	12	9	6	3-0	- Confusing structure, important sections missing - Difficult to read, poor width & depth - No visual elements
Design decisions	- Clear and comprehensive – with problem statement, decision (what + why); regarded options if appropriate	10	8	6	4	2-0	- No or just pro-forma / trivial design decisions, limited to visuals - Individual records incomplete and incoherent
User evaluation(s)	- Clear what was evaluated and why - Evaluation goal, method, results, and discussion are plausible	3	2	1	.5	0	- Any of those: implausible, incomplete, made up, missing
Submission package	- It is clear who worked on what - Coherently styled diagrams - Well-organized git repository w/o clutter (no venv/ etc.)	5	4	3	2	1-0	- Unclear who worked on what - Messy diagrams (if any) - Submission compiled in a haste
Git usage	- Diligent usage of git commits – for source code + documentation - Well-balanced commit history among team members (or explanation)	15	12	9	6	3-0	- No or poor usage of git commits, e.g., just one upload at the end
Bonus: tech stack extension	- New tech included, well-integrated and documented	4	3	2	1	0	- No extension
Bottom line	- Overall well-rounded submission - App is well-crafted - Team clearly put serious work in						- Submission is a messy piecework - App looks like "copy & paste" - Team wanted to slip through

Additional comments

Criterion	Comment
Dropdown	
Dropdown	
Dropdown	
Dropdown	
Dropdown	
Dropdown	

Overall evaluation

-5.0...+5.0 **Bonus:** Reasoning
0.0...75.0 **Total**